RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 3 0 2006

Remarks

As required by 35 U.S.C. 121 applicant has made its election of species. However, applicant believes that all of the claims are related and that it would not be an undue burden upon Examiner to search and examine all of the claims, especially so since they are all in the same art unit. Applicant requests Examiner to reconsider her restriction requirement and examine the original claims without restriction.

Applicant elects those original claims directed to Figure 5, which Examiner designates as Species I. The original claims directed to Figure 5 are claims 1 - 13. And as a result thereof, applicant cancels original claims 14 - 32 without prejudice to file the original nonelected claims in one or more divisional applications.

Applicant added new independent claim 33 and new dependent claims 34-57 that are believed to place Species I – X in a condition to be examined without restriction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, applicant also believes that Specie XI is so related to the new claims, as well as the original claims, that it too is in a condition to be examined without restriction.

Reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested. If you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Malcolm D. Reid Registration No. 27,065 Attorney for Applicant 612-632-3304 (voice) 612-632-4304 (direct fax)

malcolm.reid@gpmlaw.com

August 30, 2006

Gray Plant Mooty Mooty & Bennett, PA PO Box 2906 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0906

GP:1959830 v3